

Annotated Bibliography

1. Volsko TA, DiFiore JM, Chatburn RL. Performance comparison of two oscillating positive expiratory pressure devices: acapella versus flutter. *Respir Care* 2003;48(2):124–130.
2. Dosman CF, Jones RL. High-frequency chest compression: a summary of the literature. *Can Respir J* 2005;12(1):37–41.
3. Phillips GE, Pike SE, Jaffe' A, Bush A. Comparison of active cycle of breathing and high-frequency oscillation jacket in children with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2004;37(1):71–7
4. Natale JE, Pfeifle J, Homnick DN. Comparison of intrapulmonary percussive ventilation and chest physiotherapy. A pilot study in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Chest* 1994;105(6):1789–1793.
5. Reychler G, Wallenmacq P, Rodenstein DO, Cumps J, Leal T, Liistro G. Comparison of lung deposition of amikacin by intrapulmonary percussive ventilation and jet nebulization by urinary monitoring. *J Aerosol Med* 2006;19(2):199–207.
6. Reychler G, Wallenmacq P, Rodenstein DO, Cumps J, Leal T, Liistro G. Comparison of lung deposition of amikacin by intrapulmonary percussive ventilation and jet nebulization by urinary monitoring. *J Aerosol Med* 2006;19(2):199–207.
7. Hess DR. The evidence for secretion clearance techniques. *Respir Care* 2001;46(11):1276–1293.
8. Langenderfer B. Alternatives to percussion and postural drainage. A review of mucus clearance therapies: percussion and postural drainage, autogenic drainage, positive expiratory pressure, flutter valve, intrapulmonary percussive ventilation, and high-frequency chest compression with the ThAIRapy Vest. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil* 1998;18(4):283–289.
9. Volsko TA, DiFiore JM, Chatburn RL. Performance comparison of two oscillating positive expiratory pressure devices: acapella versus flutter. *Respir Care* 2003;48(2):124–130.